

ACCEPTED 22<sup>nd</sup> JUNE 2012Preprint typeset using L<sup>A</sup>T<sub>E</sub>X style emulateapj v. 5/2/11

## RAPID COEVAL BLACK HOLE AND HOST GALAXY GROWTH IN MRC 1138-262: THE HUNGRY SPIDER

N. SEYMOUR<sup>1,2</sup>, B. ALTIERI<sup>3</sup>, C. DE BREUCK<sup>4</sup>, P. BARTHEL<sup>5</sup>, D. COIA<sup>3</sup>, L. CONVERSI<sup>3</sup>, H. DANNERBAUER<sup>6</sup>, A. DEY<sup>7</sup>, M. DICKINSON<sup>7</sup>, G. DROUART<sup>8,4,1</sup>, A. GALAMETZ<sup>9</sup>, T.R. GREVE<sup>10</sup>, M. HAAS<sup>11</sup>, N. HATCH<sup>12</sup>, E. IBAR<sup>13</sup>, R. IIVSON<sup>13,14</sup>, M. JARVIS<sup>15,16</sup>, A. KOVÁCS<sup>17</sup>, J. KURK<sup>18</sup>, M. LEHNERT<sup>19</sup>, G. MILEY<sup>20</sup>, N. NESVADBA<sup>19</sup>, J.I. RAWLINGS<sup>2</sup>, A. RETTURA<sup>21</sup>, H. RÖTTGERING<sup>20</sup>, B. ROCCA-VOLMERANGE<sup>8</sup>, M. SÁNCHEZ-PORTAL<sup>3</sup>, J.S. SANTOS<sup>3</sup>, D. STERN<sup>21</sup>, J. STEVENS<sup>14</sup>, I. VALTCHANOV<sup>3</sup>, J. VERNET<sup>4</sup>, AND D. WYLEZALEK<sup>4</sup>

Accepted 22<sup>nd</sup> June 2012

## ABSTRACT

We present a detailed study of the infrared spectral energy distribution of the high-redshift radio galaxy MRC 1138-26 at  $z = 2.156$ , also known as the Spiderweb Galaxy. By combining photometry from *Spitzer*, *Herschel* and LABOCA we fit the rest-frame  $5 - 300 \mu\text{m}$  emission using a two component, starburst and active galactic nucleus (AGN), model. The total infrared ( $8 - 1000 \mu\text{m}$ ) luminosity of this galaxy is  $(1.97 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$  with  $(1.17 \pm 0.27)$  and  $(0.79 \pm 0.09) \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$  due to the AGN and starburst components respectively. The high derived AGN accretion rate of  $\sim 20\%$  Eddington, and the measured star formation rate (SFR) of  $1390 \pm 150 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$ , suggest that this massive system is in a special phase of rapid central black hole *and* host galaxy growth, likely caused by a gas rich merger in a dense environment. The accretion rate is sufficient to power both the jets and the previously observed large outflow. The high SFR and strong outflow suggest this galaxy could potentially exhaust its fuel for stellar growth in a few tens of Myr, although the likely merger of the radio galaxy with nearby satellites suggest bursts of star formation may recur again on time scales of several hundreds of Myr. The age of the radio lobes implies the jet started after the current burst of star formation, and therefore we are possibly witnessing the transition from a merger-induced starburst phase to a radio-loud AGN phase. We also note tentative evidence for [CII] $158 \mu\text{m}$  emission. This paper marks the first results from the *Herschel* Galaxy Evolution Project (Project HeRGÉ), a systematic study of the evolutionary state of 71 high redshift,  $1 < z < 5.2$ , radio galaxies.

*Subject headings:* galaxies: active, formation, high redshift, individual (MRC 1138-262)

<sup>1</sup> CSIRO Astronomy & Space Science, PO Box 76, Epping, NSW, 1710, Australia

<sup>2</sup> Mullard Space Science Laboratory, University College London, Holmbury St Mary, Dorking, Surrey, RH5 6NT, UK

<sup>3</sup> Herschel Science Centre, European Space Astronomy Centre, ESA, Villanueva de al Cañada, 28691 Madrid, Spain

<sup>4</sup> European Southern Observatory, Karl Schwarzschild Straße 2, 85748 Garching, Germany

<sup>5</sup> Kapteyn Astronomical Institute, University of Groningen, PO Box 800, 9700 AV Groningen, The Netherlands

<sup>6</sup> Universität Wien, Institut für Astronomie, Türkenschanzstraße 17, 1180 Wien, Österreich

<sup>7</sup> NOAO-Tucson, 950 North Cherry Avenue, Tucson, AZ 85719, USA

<sup>8</sup> Institut d'Astrophysique de Paris, 98bis Bd Arago, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>9</sup> INAF - Osservatorio di Roma, Via Frascati 33, I-00040, Monteporzio, Italy

<sup>10</sup> Department of Physics and Astronomy, University College London, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK

<sup>11</sup> Astronomisches Institut, Ruhr-Universität Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150, Gebäude NA 7/173, D-44780 Bochum, Germany

<sup>12</sup> School of Physics and Astronomy, University of Nottingham, University Park, Nottingham, NG7 2RD, UK

<sup>13</sup> UK Astronomy Technology Centre, Royal Observatory, Blackford Hill, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK

<sup>14</sup> Institute for Astronomy, University of Edinburgh, Royal Observatory, Edinburgh, EH9 3HJ, UK

<sup>15</sup> Centre for Astrophysics Research, STRI, University of Hertfordshire, Hatfield, AL10 9AB, UK

<sup>16</sup> Physics Department, University of the Western Cape, Bellville 7535, South Africa

<sup>17</sup> University of Minnesota, 116 Church St SE, Minneapolis, MN 55414, USA

<sup>18</sup> Max Planck Institut für extraterrestrische Physik, Postfach 1312, 85741 Garching bei München, Germany

<sup>19</sup> GEPI, Observatoire de Paris, UMR 8111, CNRS, Université Paris Diderot, 5 place Jules Janssen, 92190, Meudon, France

<sup>20</sup> Leiden Observatory, University of Leiden, P.O. Box 9513, 2300 RA Leiden, Netherlands

<sup>21</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91109, USA

## 1. INTRODUCTION

There is now a growing consensus that high redshift radio galaxies (HzRG;  $z > 1$ ,  $L_{500\text{MHz}} > 10^{26} \text{ WHz}^{-1}$ ) are markers of the early formation of the most massive galaxies, located within the highest peaks of dark matter over-densities (Miley & De Breuck 2008). Not only do HzRGs tend to reside in significantly over-dense environments (e.g., Ivison et al. 2000; Stern et al. 2003; Venemans et al. 2007; Galametz et al. 2010; Tanaka et al. 2011; Galametz et al. 2012; Mayo et al. 2012), but the mass of their stellar component also tends to be high as indicated by the remarkably tight scatter between the observed  $K$ -band magnitude and redshift (e.g., Lilly & Longair 1984; Eales et al. 1997; Jarvis et al. 2001; Willott et al. 2003; Rocca-Volmerange et al. 2004; Bryant et al. 2009). The high stellar masses have been confirmed more directly with rest-frame near-infrared observations (Seymour et al. 2007; De Breuck et al. 2010). Recent observations of the high mid-infrared luminosities of HzRGs indicate that the black holes in these sources likely have high accretion rates (Ogle et al. 2006; Seymour et al. 2007; Fernandes et al. 2011). HzRGs are often found with evidence of high star formation rates (SFRs) from detections in the sub-millimetre (e.g., Archibald et al. 2001; Stevens et al. 2003; Reuland et al. 2004), from their extended Lyman  $\alpha$  emission indicating large reservoirs of ionized gas (e.g., Reuland et al. 2003; Villar-Martín et al. 2003), from their CO emission indicating reservoirs of molecular  $H_2$  (e.g., Papadopoulos et al. 2000; Emonts et al. 2011), from hot star ultraviolet absorption lines (e.g., Dey et al. 1997) and from their mid-infrared spectra (e.g., Seymour et al. 2008; Ogle et al. 2012). Kinematic measurements from ionized gas have also shown that HzRGs have extremely massive central black holes ( $3 \times 10^9 - 2 \times 10^{10} M_\odot$  – Nesvadba et al. 2011) consistent with the observed high stellar masses and accretion rates. All of these observations confirm the picture that HzRGs are markers of massive galaxies co-forming with a central black hole, residing in peaks of dark matter over-density.

The exact formation mechanism of massive galaxies, and how the frequently observed radio-loud phase is related to black hole and galaxy growth, is often debated. A connection between the growth of the central black hole and the host galaxy has been proposed to reconcile semi-analytical models with observations. A form of ‘feedback’, associated with a radio-loud phase, has been proposed to truncate the growth of the bulge and central black hole growth, thereby preventing the over-production of massive galaxies being formed in these simulations (Bower et al. 2006; Croton et al. 2006). This idea has gained popularity with the kinematic observations suggesting powerful outflows from many HzRGs (e.g., Nesvadba et al. 2006), although most models favor scenarios where the winds are radiatively driven.

In order to test how phases of powerful radio-loud emission influence, or are associated with, the formation of massive galaxies at high redshift, accurate measurements of the black hole accretion rate and the SFR are required. Due to varying, and difficult to determine, levels of obscuration at UV and optical wavelengths, as well as contamination from the active galactic nucleus (AGN), the

best wavelength regime in which to obtain such measurements is the infrared (IR) where the spectral energy distributions (SEDs) of such sources also tend to peak. With the *Spitzer Space Telescope* (Werner et al. 2004) we conducted a systematic study with eight photometric bands ( $3.6 - 160 \mu\text{m}$ ) of 71 HzRGs with redshifts,  $z$ , in the range  $1 < z < 5.2$  in order to measure their near- to mid-IR rest-frame SEDs. This photometry allowed us to estimate separately the stellar and AGN contribution at these wavelengths, thereby confirming their high stellar masses and AGN mid-IR luminosities (Seymour et al. 2007; De Breuck et al. 2010). In order to measure the complete IR SED, we are now extending this study to far-IR wavelengths as the *Herschel* Radio Galaxy Evolution Project (*Projet HeRGÉ*). The *Herschel Space Telescope*<sup>22</sup> (Pilbratt et al. 2010) has already demonstrated that far-IR measurements can reliably be used to measure SFRs in powerful AGN (e.g., Hardcastle et al. 2010; Hatziminaoglou et al. 2010; Shao et al. 2010; Seymour et al. 2011). By combining these data with those from *Spitzer* we can, for the first time, accurately measure the total bolometric luminosities and far-IR contribution, and then estimate the relative AGN and starburst IR luminosities in HzRGs.

In this *letter* we present the first results from *Projet HeRGÉ* on MRC1138-262 at  $z = 2.156$ , otherwise known as the Spiderweb Galaxy due to the preponderance of satellite galaxies, ‘flies’, revealed by deep *Hubble Space Telescope* (*HST*) imaging (Miley et al. 2006). This HzRG has a powerful AGN as implied by its high X-ray luminosity ( $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = 4 \times 10^{45} \text{ erg s}^{-1} \sim 1.0 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$  – Carilli et al. 2002), its extreme radio emission ( $L_{500\text{MHz}} = 1.2 \times 10^{29} \text{ WHz}^{-1}$  – De Breuck et al. 2010), and evidence of a high SFR ( $\sim 1200 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$  – Stevens et al. 2003; Ogle et al. 2012). There is also evidence of a powerful outflow (Nesvadba et al. 2006) potentially driven by the massive central black hole (Nesvadba et al. 2011). A study of the proto-cluster environment of the Spiderweb Galaxy shows that many members will be stripped by, or merge with, the central galaxy by the present epoch (Hatch et al. 2009; Doherty et al. 2010; Tanaka et al. 2010). Kuiper et al. (2011) suggest that the measured dynamical structure around the Spiderweb Galaxy can be interpreted as the merger of two massive haloes and that the combined system has not yet become virialised. In this paper we aim to measure more accurately the AGN accretion rate and SFR, the bolometrically dominant components, thereby obtaining an improved understanding of the evolutionary state of this HzRG.

In §2 we present the IR data used in this work and in §3 we present the SED fitting of these data with an AGN and starburst component. We discuss our results, and the overall evolutionary state of the Spiderweb Galaxy in §4 and conclude the paper in §5. Throughout this paper we use the ‘concordance’  $\Lambda\text{CDM}$  cosmology with  $H_0 = 70 \text{ Mpc}^{-1} \text{ kms}^{-1}$ ,  $\Omega_\Lambda = 1 - \Omega_M = 0.7$ .

## 2. DATA

<sup>22</sup> *Herschel* is an ESA space observatory with science instruments provided by European-led Principal Investigator consortia and with important participation from NASA.

The data used in this work comprises observed infrared photometry across  $20 - 1000 \mu\text{m}$ . At the shortest wavelength,  $24 \mu\text{m}$ , the photometry comes from *Spitzer* and was published in De Breuck et al. (2010). We add additional contributions to the reported uncertainty, in quadrature, to allow for the absolute flux calibration and the colour correction uncertainty as described in Seymour et al. (2007). We supplement this photometry with new observations from *Herschel* using the PACS (Poglitsch et al. 2010) and SPIRE (Griffin et al. 2010) instruments, as well as a LABOCA/ $870 \mu\text{m}$  flux density (Dannerbauer et al., in prep., ESO program ID 084.A-1016(A) and MPG program ID 083.F-0022 – both PI J. Kurk). The *Herschel* observations were carried out as part of the project scientist guaranteed time (PI Altieri).

The SPIRE observation (OBSID 1342210877) was performed using a four repetition cross-scan Large Map mode covering  $10 \times 10$  arcmin, achieving  $1\sigma$  instrumental noise of (3.5, 4.8, 5.4) mJy at (250, 250, 500  $\mu\text{m}$ ) – slightly below the SPIRE extragalactic confusion noise (Nguyen et al. 2010). To obtain SPIRE photometry for the Spiderweb Galaxy we used a time-line fitter<sup>23</sup>, which provides a better estimate of the flux density, because no binning into sky pixels is involved in the process. The final flux density uncertainty, reported in Table 1, includes the fit error, the confusion noise and a conservative 7% flux calibration uncertainty (see the SPIRE Observers’ Manual). The photometry from standard source fitting on maps is consistent with the time-line fitter results, but we prefer to use the time-line fitter results as these are generally more accurate, especially for sources at high signal-to-noise. The PACS observations (OBSID 134222456 and 134222457) consisted of two crossed scan maps covering the same area and the data were reduced using HIPE v6.0 (Ott 2010). The data cubes were processed with a standard pipeline, including a sliding high-pass filtering on the detector time-lines to remove detector drifts and  $1/f$  noise with an iterative masking of the sources. We extracted flux densities via standard aperture photometry techniques and the PACS uncertainties include contributions from instrumental noise and absolute flux calibration. For the LABOCA/ $870 \mu\text{m}$  flux density uncertainty we add a 15% uncertainty, in quadrature, to the instrumental uncertainty to account for absolute flux calibration, atmospheric effects.

The *Herschel* beam varies from 6 – 36 arc-seconds, but the radio galaxy appears point like in all-bands from  $8 \mu\text{m}$  to  $250 \mu\text{m}$  (see Fig. 1). The 350, 500 and  $870 \mu\text{m}$  images all show a slight extension in the east-west direction consistent with emission from the clump of galaxies approximately 30 arc-seconds to the west of the radio galaxy seen in the  $8 \mu\text{m}$  through  $250 \mu\text{m}$  bands. This extension is consistent with the SCUBA/ $850 \mu\text{m}$  detection by Stevens et al. (2003). This clump comprises of four sources seen individually at  $8 \mu\text{m}$  and  $24 \mu\text{m}$ , the brightest two of which have been spectroscopically confirmed to lie at the same redshift and therefore be proto-cluster members (Kurk et al. 2004); hence, we can assume the long-wavelength flux density from these sources to have similar colours due to the Rayleigh-Jeans tail. We note

the peak flux density at the longer wavelengths remains centered on the radio galaxy. We assume, for the purposes of SED fitting, that all this flux density comes from one source, but then treat the SFR measured from the starburst component as an upper limit to that from just the radio galaxy.

All the data used in the fitting of this sample are presented in Table 1.

### 3. SED FITTING

The goal of our SED fitting is to obtain the AGN and starburst IR luminosities ( $8 - 1000 \mu\text{m}$ ) and then derive physical quantities from these results. We fit the observed photometry across  $5 - 300 \mu\text{m}$  rest frame with a two-component model consisting of an AGN and a starburst template. Extrapolation of the radio core flux density using the observed flux densities at wavelengths  $\geq 6$  cm and the core spectral index from Carilli et al. (1997),  $\alpha = -1.3$  ( $S_\nu \propto \nu^\alpha$ ), suggests that synchrotron emission at these wavelengths is many orders of magnitude fainter. We use the *Decompir*<sup>24</sup> SED model fitting code (Mullaney et al. 2011) to fit the two components with some minor adaptations to use additional band-pass filters. The AGN component is an empirical model based on observations of moderate-luminosity (i.e.,  $L_{2-10\text{keV}} = 10^{42} - 10^{44} \text{ erg s}^{-1}$ ) low-redshift AGN in Mullaney et al. (2011) selected at high X-ray energies from the local,  $z < 0.1$ , *Swift*-BAT sample (Tueller et al. 2008). This model is a continuous function comprised of two power-laws and a modified black-body, expressed as:

$$F_\nu \propto \begin{cases} \lambda^{1.8} & \text{at } 6 \mu\text{m} < \lambda < 19 \mu\text{m} \\ \lambda^{0.2} & \text{at } 19 \mu\text{m} < \lambda < 40 \mu\text{m} \\ \nu^{1.5} F_\nu^{\text{BB}} & \text{at } \lambda > 40 \mu\text{m} \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

In comparison with other AGN templates commonly used, it is broadly similar in the mid-IR regime; however it has lower far-IR emission, when normalized at  $19 \mu\text{m}$ , compared to NGC 1068 and Mrk 231, likely attributable to unaccounted starburst contributions at far-IR wavelengths in these two galaxies (Le Floc’h et al. 2001). This model is also broadly similar to empirical models presented by Richards et al. (2006) and Netzer et al. (2007). The advantage of the formulation above is that the various parameters (the two power-law slopes and the black-body peak wavelength) can be adjusted to closely match these other models which we test later on.

The starburst models consist of five SEDs developed by Mullaney et al. from Brandl et al. (2006) designed to represent a typical range of SED types (i.e., peaking at a range of wavelengths). Beyond  $100 \mu\text{m}$  these templates are extrapolated using a grey body with a frequency dependent emissivity approximated as  $\sim \nu^\beta$  with  $\beta = 1.5$ . In addition to the normalisation and choice of starburst template, *Decompir* can apply extinction to each component separately. As the AGN-dominated mid-IR spectrum (Ogle et al. 2012) shows a little silicate emission, not absorption, we only allow the starburst component to be affected by absorption<sup>25</sup>. Therefore the chi-squared,

<sup>24</sup> <https://sites.google.com/site/decompir/>

<sup>23</sup> The time-line fitter for SPIRE is available at the *Herschel*/SPIRE public wiki page: [herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/bin/view/Public/SpireCalibrationWeb](https://herschel.esac.esa.int/twiki/bin/view/Public/SpireCalibrationWeb)

<sup>25</sup> We note that while the X-ray emission from AGN shows moderate extinction,  $N_H = (2.6 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{22} \text{ cm}^{-2}$  (Carilli et al. 2002), the mid-IR SED does not (Ogle et al. 2012). This is consistent

$\chi^2$ , minimisation performed by **Decompir** has four free components: normalisation of each template, choice of starburst template and starburst extinction.

The best fit combination of models returns a reduced chi-squared of  $\chi_r^2 = 1.4$  with the starburst template, ‘SB4’, the template peaking at the shortest wavelength. We note that while the best fit model has some extinction for the starburst component, it is poorly constrained and we get an equally good fit with no extinction and similar luminosities within 2%. The fit is shown in Fig. 2 and the luminosities are reported in Table 2. The model fits the data well, although at the longest wavelengths the model slightly struggles to fit the sharp decrease in flux density from 500 to 870  $\mu\text{m}$ . The SED at these wavelengths is dominated by the Rayleigh-Jeans tail of the starburst component and any blackbody would struggle to fit such a flux density ratio, although the slope does depend on the emissivity index. The ‘SB4’ starburst template is the hottest of the five used, with a dust temperature of  $T_{\text{dust}} \sim 64\text{ K}$ . The next two cooler templates,  $T_{\text{dust}} \sim 46 - 53\text{ K}$ , give a  $\chi_r^2 \approx 5 - 8$  and fit the 500  $\mu\text{m}$  flux density better, but exceed the 870  $\mu\text{m}$  flux density by  $\geq 4\sigma$ .

If we exclude the 500  $\mu\text{m}$  data point we marginally improve the best fit to  $\chi_r^2 = 1.1$ , again for the ‘SB4’ template and with the output parameters changing very little (note the number of degrees of freedom drops by one). One potential method to better fit the observed high 500 to 870  $\mu\text{m}$  flux ratio is to use starburst SEDs with a steeper emissivity index. By modifying the templates so that  $\beta = 2$  we can obtain a steeper slope on the Rayleigh-Jeans side of the SED peak. Repeating the SED fitting with these modified starburst templates we obtain a best fit of  $\chi_r^2 = 1.1$  from the modified ‘SB5’ starburst template. The overall fit does not significantly change with a slightly smaller 500  $\mu\text{m}$  excess, by  $\sim 20\%$ , as well as an AGN luminosity increase of  $\sim 10\%$  and a starburst luminosity decrease of  $\sim 20\%$ . Hence, there is no significant improvement with this change to the starburst templates.

Visual examination of the images at 350, 500 and 870  $\mu\text{m}$ , see Fig. 1, suggests the measured flux densities at these wavelengths may have contributions from other proto-cluster members as discussed in §2. As the galaxies responsible for this emission lie at the same redshift, and are almost certainly starbursts to be emitting at these wavelengths, they likely have similar flux density ratios. The 250  $\mu\text{m}$  flux density is not affected by these nearby sources and the peak flux density still originates from the central radio galaxy. The ratio of the radio galaxy to companion peak flux densities at 250  $\mu\text{m}$  is about 2 : 1; hence, we estimate the contribution of the companion to the measured flux density at longer wavelengths to be  $\sim \frac{1}{3}$  at most. We note that the 350  $\mu\text{m}$  flux density need only be decreased by 25% to produce an unphysical flux density ratio for a cold dust SED. However, if we decrease the three longest wavelength flux densities by this much the best fit gives a starburst luminosity only 10% lower. Hence, although the estimated starburst IR luminosity is formally an upper limit for the radio galaxy, we postulate

with the bright H  $\alpha$  seen by Nesvadba et al. (2006) and can be attributed to differences in dust grains seen toward the circumnuclear regions of AGN and the diffuse ISM of our galaxy.

that the true starburst IR luminosity of the Spiderweb Galaxy is, at most, only 10% lower.

We do not have enough photometry at  $5\mu\text{m} \leq \lambda_{\text{rest}} \leq 50\mu\text{m}$  to allow all the AGN parameters (the two power-law slopes and the black-body peak), other than the normalisation, to vary simultaneously. Allowing just one parameter to vary results in changes of luminosities of  $< 5\%$ . We can change the parameters in equation one to mimic the Richards et al. (2006) and Netzer et al. (2007) AGN models. In both cases, the AGN luminosity halves and the starburst luminosity doubles, but the fit is worse with  $\chi_r^2 \sim 8.5$ . We note that this fit is improved if we use the Richards or Netzer AGN model with the  $\beta = 2$  starburst SED, with the same qualitative results, but with a  $\chi_r^2 = 3.3$ . We also tried using the suite of empirical starburst templates from Rieke et al. (2009) instead of the Brandl templates. The best fit templates were also those peaking at the shortest wavelengths, i.e., the ultra luminous IR galaxy (ULIRG) templates, but with a slightly higher chi-squared:  $\chi_r^2 \sim 3$ . To obtain a better understanding of the uncertainties we also randomly varied the flux densities with a Gaussian distribution based on their quoted uncertainties one hundred times and repeated the fitting procedures. We found the variance of the resulting luminosities to be  $\leq 10\%$ , i.e., less than the quoted uncertainties in Table 2.

We finish this section by noting that while there are many ways to tweak both the AGN and starburst templates and change the parameters of the fit all solutions have an AGN component dominating at the shortest wavelengths,  $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \leq 30\mu\text{m}$ , and a starburst component dominating at long wavelengths  $\lambda_{\text{rest}} \geq 60\mu\text{m}$ . Hence, all good fits have a powerful,  $L_{\text{IR}}(\text{SB/AGN}) > 5 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$ , starburst and AGN component. Until we get high spatial and/or spectral resolution data, e.g. from ALMA or JWST, it will be difficult to differentiate between many moderately good fits.

#### 4. DISCUSSION

We have measured very high AGN and starburst luminosities which imply a high central black hole accretion rate and SFR, respectively. The total IR luminosity of this system causes it to be classed as a hyper-luminous IR galaxy,  $L_{\text{IR}} > 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ . We discuss here what these results mean and how they relate to the radio emission and overall evolutionary state of the system. We also discuss the potential origin of the 500  $\mu\text{m}$  excess.

##### 4.1. AGN Power

The total IR AGN luminosity marks the Spiderweb Galaxy as one of the most powerful AGN known; therefore the AGN is likely having a strong effect on its host galaxy and immediate environment (see §4.6). Assuming the full unobscured AGN SED is similar to the Elvis et al. (1994) templates, we get  $L_{\text{BOL}}(\text{AGN}) \sim 6 \times L_{\text{IR}}(\text{AGN})$  (we note other unobscured AGN templates produce similar numbers) and therefore an AGN bolometric luminosity of  $\sim 7 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$ . This bolometric AGN luminosity estimate is higher than previous estimates, e.g., Nesvadba et al. (2006) who used the observed X-ray luminosity (Carilli et al. 2002) and corrected it using the Elvis et al. (1994) template,  $L_{\text{BOL}}(\text{AGN}) \sim 10 \times L_{2-10\text{keV}}(\text{AGN})$ .

This discrepancy is unlikely due to extinction as seen towards the nucleus in X-rays given the low absorbing column (Carilli et al. 2002). As noted earlier, neither the mid-IR spectrum (Ogle et al. 2012) nor the SED (Drouart et al. 2012) indicate significant extinction either. The discrepancy between the hard X-ray and IR-derived bolometric AGN luminosities is more likely due to the dispersion between individual sources and the Elvis et al. (1994) templates. This, in turn, could be explained by a different dust-to-gas ratio in this source, a clumpy torus or an unusual AGN geometry, but further investigation is beyond the scope of this paper.

This galaxy exhibits both a large scale central velocity distribution indicative of a powerful outflow (Nesvadba et al. 2006) and a high-luminosity mid-IR  $H_2$  molecular emission line (Ogle et al. 2012). The derived bolometric radiative power would therefore be enough to power both the outflow ( $\sim 8 \times 10^{12} L_\odot$  – Nesvadba et al. 2006) and the probably shock-heated gas ( $\sim 4 \times 10^{10} L_\odot$  – Ogle et al. 2012), even assuming a 10% coupling efficiency. However, the kinetic power of the radio jet, estimated to be  $0.6 - 8.0 \times 10^{13} L_\odot$  by Nesvadba et al. (2011), is also powerful enough to potentially drive the outflow.

Nesvadba et al. (2006) also present an estimate of the black hole mass from the measurement of the central  $H\alpha$  luminosity and FWHM. The principle uncertainty in this measurement is how the orientation of the galaxy affects the application of the Greene & Ho (2005) scaling relationship, which is based on a sample of lower luminosity AGN likely to be more face-on than the Spiderweb galaxy, i.e., at lower inclinations. Indeed, G. Drouart et al. (2012, in preparation) find a correlation between radio core prominence and viewing angle from a hot torus model fitted to the mid-IR SED of HzRGs which suggests that the radio axis is aligned to torus axis. These authors find that the Spiderweb Galaxy is inclined at angle of  $\sim 68^\circ$  to the line of sight, but has modest extinction, an  $A_V \sim 1.5$ . While generally there may be misalignments of up to  $10 - 20^\circ$  between the radio and torus axes, it is likely that the Spiderweb Galaxy is more edge-on than the Greene & Ho (2005) sample. McLure & Dunlop (2002) show that the measured FWHM from a thin accretion disk is proportional to the sine of the inclination angle squared. Therefore, if the Spiderweb Galaxy has a higher inclination angle,  $\theta_{RG}$ , than the mean value for the AGN sample used in Greene & Ho (2005),  $\theta_{GH05}$ , then we would be overestimating the black hole mass by  $\frac{\sin^2(\theta_{GH05})}{\sin^2(\theta_{RG})}$  which Nesvadba et al. (2011) assume to be  $\sim 2$ . We therefore take the lower, inclination-corrected, value of the black hole mass from Nesvadba et al. (2011),  $\sim 10^{10} M_\odot$ . The higher bolometric luminosity derived above implies a higher black hole mass derived from the  $H\alpha$  luminosity. However, we also note the black hole mass reported here would put this galaxy on par with some of the most massive black holes known in the local Universe (McConnell et al. 2011), and are very rare for the  $z > 1$  Universe. Hence, we treat this black hole mass as uncertain by a factor 2 – 3.

We then relate the bolometric luminosity to the maximally possible accretion luminosity where the continuum radiation force outwards balances the gravitational

force inwards in hydrostatic equilibrium, i.e., the Eddington luminosity, and we find an Eddington accretion rate of  $\sim 20\%$ . This is a factor of a few higher than the estimate of Nesvadba et al. (2011) due to the higher bolometric luminosity and lower black hole mass used in this work. This high rate of accretion is common in less bolometrically luminous AGN, ( $\sim 25\% -$  Kollmeier et al. 2006), but is rare for less radio-luminous AGN ( $L_{500\text{MHz}} \lesssim 10^{27} \text{ WHz}^{-1}$  – Best & Heckman 2012) at least in the local,  $z < 0.1$ , Universe. However, it is probably common for HzRGs as based on their X-ray (Overzier et al. 2005) and mid-IR (Ogle et al. 2006; Seymour et al. 2007) luminosities. These results suggest the accretion disk is in an efficient state, although the large outflow hints that it may soon run out of fuel.

#### 4.2. Star-Formation Rate

The starburst IR luminosity implies a SFR of  $1390 \pm 150 M_\odot \text{ yr}^{-1}$  using the Kennicutt (1998) scaling relation (and therefore a Salpeter IMF). We noted in §2 that the longer wavelength bands may have some contribution from nearby proto-cluster members, but estimate that this contribution is  $\leq 10\%$  in §3. This SFR is much higher than estimates from optical/near-IR data (Hatch et al. 2008, 2009), likely due to obscuration by dust, but is broadly consistent with estimates from the PAH luminosities measured from the mid-IR SED (Ogle et al., 2012; J. Rawlings, 2012, in preparation). We note that the IR luminosity is more sensitive to the star formation averaged over a slightly longer time frame than optical/UV observations. We can estimate the mechanical energy of this starburst using the canonical conversion factor from Dalla Vecchia & Schaye (2008) and obtain a value  $1 - 2 \times 10^{11} L_\odot$  which is insufficient to explain the kinematics and outflow observed (Nesvadba et al. 2006). However, any material driven out may fall back onto the central galaxy or the satellite galaxies over time (e.g., Hatch et al. 2009, and see §4.6).

Estimating the stellar mass of this source is difficult due to the strong AGN continuum. Hatch et al. (2009) provide an estimate of  $1.1 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{12} M_\odot$  using SED fitting of high resolution *HST* rest-frame UV/optical data. This result is consistent with the upper limit found from rest-frame near-IR observations (Seymour et al. 2007; De Breuck et al. 2010). We adopt the Hatch et al. (2009) value as an upper limit since it may still be contaminated by the AGN as it lies in the AGN-dominated region of mid-IR colour-colour space as shown in De Breuck et al. (2010). We can now derive the specific SFR,  $\text{sSFR} = \text{SFR}/M_{\text{stellar}}$ , and find a value of  $\gtrsim 1.3 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$ . This is a high sSFR, but common for star forming galaxies at this redshift (Noeske et al. 2007; Elbaz et al. 2011). However, it is unusual for a galaxy this massive.

The inverse of the sSFR gives the characteristic time,  $t_{\text{char}}$ , in which the galaxy would double its stellar mass if it maintains the current SFR. While this time-frame is short,  $\lesssim 0.8 \text{ Gyr}$ , for the Spiderweb, it is likely that the star formation will turn off on even shorter timescales. Measurements of CO provide the best estimates of the mass of molecular hydrogen, i.e., the main component of the fuel for star formation and black hole growth (e.g., Emonts et al. 2011). While such measurements do not

currently exist for the Spiderweb Galaxy we infer a value of  $M_{\text{H}_2} \sim 0.5 - 5 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$  based the far-IR luminosity and the conversion factors used in Emonts et al. (2011) assuming the Spiderweb is similar to the HzRGs in that study. If we assume 100% star formation efficiency, then this SFR can only be maintained for another 4 – 40 Myr, neglecting infall from satellite galaxies which may happen within a few hundred Myr (see below). If the mass of material estimated to being removed by the outflow,  $\gtrsim 400 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$  (Nesvadba et al. 2006), is taken into account, this time frame decreases by  $\sim 30\%$ , more if the powerful jets also entrain and remove significant amounts of gas from the galaxy, or if the molecular hydrogen mass is lower than assumed. If we assume a much lower star formation efficiency, e.g. 10%, then this time-scale decreases by an order of magnitude, but leaves fuel for further bursts of star-formation triggered by its proto-cluster environment.

#### 4.3. Age of Radio Jet

Previously, Nesvadba et al. (2006) estimated the age of the radio jet using the method put forth by Wan et al. (2000) and obtained a value of 4 – 10 Myr. We can re-estimate the age using models of radio lobe evolution from Mocz et al. (2011). These authors reproduce the emission at radio wavelengths from synchrotron processes and the X-rays emitted by the lobes due to inverse Compton (IC) scattering of the Cosmic Microwave Background. These models lead to tracks of lobe radio/151 MHz and X-ray/1 keV luminosity against time and size of radio lobes at different redshifts. Carilli et al. (2002) estimate that 10 – 25% of the X-ray emission they measure is spatially extended on scales of 10 – 20 arcsec, similar to the extent of the radio jet, 15.8 arcsec (Carilli et al. 1997). Hence, if we assume all this X-ray luminosity is from IC processes we get a lobe X-ray luminosity of  $L_{2-10\text{keV}} \sim 1 - 2.5 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ . As Mocz et al. (2011) use a 1 keV X-ray luminosity we take  $L_{1\text{keV}} \sim 1.8 - 4.5 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}$  (assuming a photon power-law index of  $\Gamma = 1.4$ ), and from the 365 and 74 MHz radio flux densities (Douglas et al. 1996; Cohen et al. 2007) we find a 151 MHz luminosity of  $L_{151} = 5.6 \times 10^{29} \text{WHz}^{-1} \sim 2.2 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}$ . Using the redshift and inclination estimate from G. Drouart et al. (2012, in preparation) we estimate the total lobe size to be  $\sim 140$  kpc, which implies an age of  $\sim 5$  Myr in Fig. 1 of Mocz et al. (2011) using the more powerful,  $z = 2$  AGN track. Using the radio and X-ray luminosities, where  $L_{151} \geq L_{1\text{keV}}$ , in Fig. 3 of Mocz et al., we obtain an estimate of  $\leq 25$  Myr for the age of the lobe. Hence, we take the age of the lobes to be in the range 5 – 25 Myr.

#### 4.4. Possible [CII]158 $\mu\text{m}$ Line

In §3 we reported an excess of emission in the 500  $\mu\text{m}$  band which we measure to be 10.0 mJy at  $\geq 1\sigma$  significance ( $\sim 2\sigma$  based on just the instrumental noise). A potential explanation for this slight excess could be a significant contribution from the redshifted [CII]158  $\mu\text{m}$  line ( $\lambda_{\text{obs}} = 499 \mu\text{m}$ ). We note that no other lines, e.g. CO, fall within the 500  $\mu\text{m}$  band width. Smail et al. (2011) examine the effect of strong far-IR emission lines on broad band photometry and show that such emission lines can cause measurable excesses in the broad

band photometry. The excess measured here, 40% above the 25.3 mJy of the model, corresponds to a value of  $L_{[\text{CII}]} / L_{\text{IR}} \sim 2.7\%$  (e.g. Fig. 4 of Smail et al. 2011). While this value is higher, by a factor of  $\sim 2$ , than any known value, it potentially indicates evidence for strong [CII]158  $\mu\text{m}$  distributed across the proto-cluster environment, due to the extended 350 – 870  $\mu\text{m}$  emission in the direction of confirmed proto-cluster members. The idea of a high, extended [CII] luminosity is consistent with the idea of a gaseous environment of neutral gas, ionized gas (Kurk et al. 2003), shocked  $\text{H}_2$  (Ogle et al. 2012) and intra-galaxy star formation (Hatch et al. 2009) within this proto-cluster environment. We caution that the statistical significance of this result is poor but the Spiderweb Galaxy remains a promising target for far-IR/millimetre spectroscopy.

#### 4.5. Evolutionary State of the Spiderweb Galaxy

We have determined that the Spiderweb Galaxy is in a rare, short-lived, phase of extreme, concurrent black hole and host galaxy growth. If we compare the relative luminosities of the AGN and starburst component to the ‘continuous growth’ relation in Lutz et al. (2010), i.e., the ratio required to maintain a constant black hole to stellar mass ratio, we see that the black hole is growing at a relatively faster rate by almost 1 dex. This result is consistent with the observed, albeit rather uncertain, ratio of stellar to black hole mass,  $\leq 110$ , i.e. the black hole is relatively more massive (and has been growing rapidly) than it would be for the Spiderweb Galaxy to lie on the local ‘ $M - \sigma$ ’ mass ratio of  $\sim 200$  (Magorrian et al. 1998). The stellar and black hole masses do lie on the relationship found by Häring & Rix (2004) and we note that both observed relations have a large scatter, 0.3 dex. If this source is to end up on the local ‘ $M - \sigma$ ’ relation, further build-up of stellar mass is required. However, we have estimated that the star formation rate will likely rapidly decrease on time-scales of  $\sim 40$  Myr assuming no further source of fuel.

Page et al. (2012) have recently examined the *Herschel*/250  $\mu\text{m}$  detection rate of X-ray luminous AGN in the *Chandra* Deep Field North in the  $1 < z < 3$  redshift range as a function of X-ray luminosity. Those authors found that the fraction of X-ray AGN detected with  $S_{250\mu\text{m}} \geq 18 \text{mJy}$  decreases to zero above  $L_{2-8\text{keV}} = 10^{44} \text{erg s}^{-1}$  ( $= 3 \times 10^{10} L_{\odot}$ ) and interpreted this result as suppression of star formation by powerful outflows driven by the AGN. The Spiderweb is a clear counter-example of this result with an X-ray luminosity well above this limit and a bright 250  $\mu\text{m}$  detection,  $S_{250} = 42 \text{mJy}$ . However, Page et al. (2012) surveyed a small area, covering just  $0.12 \text{deg}^2$ , and hence only probed a small volume where galaxies as massive as the Spiderweb are rare over this volume (e.g., Fontana et al. 2006). We can estimate an upper limit to the duty cycle of a simultaneous AGN and starburst activity above these limits by dividing the look-back time probed across  $1 < z < 3$ , 3.64 Gyr, by the number of X-ray AGN in this study, 21, and obtain a value of 170 Myr. If the Spiderweb Galaxy is in a transition from merger-induced starburst phase to an AGN phase, and this transition is relatively short,  $< 170$  Myr, as the analysis here suggests, then the Page et al. (2012) results are consistent with our observations. We point

out that such comparison is only valid if there are similar evolutionary processes going on in the less massive Page et al. (2012) sample.

#### 4.6. Proto-Cluster Environment

The environment of the Spiderweb Galaxy has been extensively studied and a full review of work in this area is beyond the scope of this paper. We have noted previously that this galaxy hosts one of the most powerful AGN known: both from its bolometric luminosity (indicative of radiative power) and its radio luminosity (indicative of kinetic power). Tanaka et al. (2010) compared massive galaxies in the environment of the Spiderweb Galaxy with identically selected field galaxies from the GOODS-S field. They determined that the galaxies in the proto-cluster environment had formed earlier and had lower SFRs, particularly close to the HzRG and along the east-west distribution of proto-cluster members. The radio emission has the same projected east-west axes; hence, it is possible that the lower SFRs observed could be due to the influence of the AGN jet. Alternatively, they may simply be more heavily obscured.

As the Spiderweb Galaxy is situated in a well studied proto-cluster we can estimate its future growth. Several authors have identified proto-cluster members and estimated that they would merge with, or be tidally stripped by, the radio galaxy before the present epoch. Hatch et al. (2009) identified 18 proto-cluster members through *HST* imaging within 150 kpc of the central radio galaxy, the combined mass of which they estimated to be  $1.9^{+1.5}_{-0.8} \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$ . Doherty et al. (2010) spectroscopically confirmed two red galaxies within  $\sim 500$  kpc<sup>26</sup>, in addition to those mentioned above, with stellar masses of the order  $3 - 5 \times 10^{11} M_{\odot}$  each. Hence, the total stellar mass increase of the Spiderweb galaxy over the upcoming  $\sim 10$  Gyr would be  $\sim 10^{12} M_{\odot}$ , i.e., at least a doubling in its mass, which would put it closer to the local ‘ $M - \sigma$ ’ relation. Kuiper et al. (2011) interpret their kinematic study of the environment, which showed a double peaked velocity distribution, as being due the merger of two massive clusters. If each cluster contained a dominant central galaxy then the high AGN and starburst luminosities could well be due to a gas rich merger of two large galaxies.

#### 5. CONCLUSIONS

We have measured the total IR rest-frame SED of the Spiderweb Galaxy, for the first time, and fitted an AGN and starburst model components. We find that the bolometric luminosity of the AGN component of this source implies that the AGN has an accretion rate high enough to power both the jets and the observed outflow. The Spiderweb Galaxy has a high SFR; however, we estimate this SFR cannot be maintained for long and cannot be responsible for the outflow. We estimate the radio jets are 5 – 25 Myr old. At the current SFR, the host galaxy has only had enough time to accumulate  $\sim 6\%$  of its observed stellar mass while the jets were on. Hence, the jets were likely triggered after most of the current burst of star formation commenced. The central black hole is possibly growing at a relatively faster rate than the

host galaxy (assuming this system will end up on the local  $M - \sigma$  relation). Studies of the proto-cluster environment suggest that mergers of satellites, and hence increased star formation, will occur on timescales of hundreds of Myr and ultimately the stellar mass will double by the present epoch. We also see very tentative evidence for strong [CII]158 $\mu$ m emission.

NS is the recipient of an Australian Research Council Future Fellowship. TRG acknowledges support from the Science and Technologies Facilities Council, as well as IDA and DARK. We thank J. Mullaney for help using the *DecompIR* code. This work is based in part on observations made with the *Spitzer Space Telescope*, which is operated by the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology under a contract with NASA. Support for this work was provided by NASA through an award issued by JPL/Caltech. HIPE is a joint development by the *Herschel* Science Ground Segment Consortium, consisting of ESA, the NASA *Herschel* Science Center, and the HIFI, PACS and SPIRE consortia  
*Facilities: Spitzer, Herschel, APEX.*

#### REFERENCES

- Archibald E. N., Dunlop J. S., Hughes D. H., Rawlings S., Eales S. A., Ivison R. J., 2001, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 323, 417  
Best P. N., Heckman T. M., 2012, *MNRAS*, 421, 1569  
Bower R. G., et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 370, 645  
Brandl B. R., Bernard-Salas J., Spoon H. W. W., Devost D., Sloan G. C., Guilles S., Wu Y., Houck J. R., Weedman D. W., Armus L., Appleton P. N., Soifer B. T., Charmandaris V., Hao L., Higdon J. A., Marshall S. J., Herter T. L., 2006, *ApJ*, 653, 1129  
Bryant J. J., Johnston H. M., Broderick J. W., Hunstead R. W., De Breuck C., Gaensler B. M., 2009, *MNRAS*, 395, 1099  
Carilli C. L., Harris D. E., Pentericci L., Röttgering H. J. A., Miley G. K., Kurk J. D., van Breugel W., 2002, *ApJ*, 567, 781  
Carilli C. L., Röttgering H. J. A., van Ojik R., Miley G. K., van Breugel W. J. M., 1997, *ApJS*, 109, 1  
Cohen A. S., Lane W. M., Cotton W. D., Kassim N. E., Lazio T. J. W., Perley R. A., Condon J. J., Erickson W. C., 2007, *AJ*, 134, 1245  
Croton D. J., et al., 2006, *MNRAS*, 365, 11  
Dalla Vecchia C., Schaye J., 2008, *MNRAS*, 387, 1431  
De Breuck C., et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 725, 36  
Dey A., van Breugel W., Vacca W. D., Antonucci R., 1997, *Astrophys. J.*, 490, 698  
Doherty M., Tanaka M., De Breuck C., Ly C., Kodama T., Kurk J., Seymour N., Vernet J., Stern D., Venemans B., Kajisawa M., Tanaka I., 2010, *A&A*, 509, A83  
Douglas J. N., Bash F. N., Bozayan F. A., Torrence G. W., Wolfe C., 1996, *Astron. J.*, 111, 1945  
Eales S., Rawlings S., Law-Green D., Cotter G., Lacy M., 1997, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 291, 593  
Elbaz D., et al., 2011, *A&A*, 533, A119  
Elvis M., et al., 1994, *ApJS*, 95, 1  
Emonts B. H. C., Feain I., Mao M. Y., Norris R. P., Miley G., Ekers R. D., Villar-Martín M., Röttgering H. J. A., Sadler E. M., Rees G., Morganti R., Saikia D. J., Oosterloo T. A., Stevens J. B., Tadhunter C. N., 2011, *ApJ*, 734, L25  
Fernandes C. A. C., Jarvis M. J., Rawlings S., Martínez-Sansigre A., Hatziminaoglou E., Lacy M., Page M. J., Stevens J. A., Vardoulaki E., 2011, *MNRAS*, 411, 1909  
Fontana A., et al., 2006, *A&A*, 459, 745  
Galametz A., Stern D., De Breuck C., Hatch N., Mayo J., Miley G., Rettura A., Seymour N., Stanford S. A., Vernet J., 2012, *ApJ*, 749, 169

<sup>26</sup> Not the  $\sim 300$  kpc previously reported.



- Galametz A., Vernet J., De Breuck C., Hatch N. A., Miley G. K., Kodama T., Kurk J., Overzier R. A., Rettura A., Röttgering H. J. A., Seymour N., Venemans B. P., Zirm A. W., 2010, *A&A*, 522, A58
- Greene J. E., Ho L. C., 2005, *ApJ*, 630, 122
- Griffin M. J., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L3
- Hardcastle M. J., et al., 2010, *MNRAS*
- Häring N., Rix H.-W., 2004, *ApJ*, 604, L89
- Hatch N. A., Overzier R. A., Kurk J. D., Miley G. K., Röttgering H. J. A., Zirm A. W., 2009, *MNRAS*, 395, 114
- Hatch N. A., Overzier R. A., Röttgering H. J. A., Kurk J. D., Miley G. K., 2008, *MNRAS*, 383, 931
- Hatziminaoglou E., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L33
- Ivison, R. J., Dunlop, J. S., Smail, I., et al. 2000, *ApJ*, 542, 27
- Jarvis M. J., Rawlings S., Eales S., Blundell K. M., Bunker A. J., Croft S., McLure R. J., Willott C. J., 2001, *MNRAS*, 326, 1585
- Kennicutt R. C., 1998, *Ann. Rev. Astron. Astrophys.*, 36, 189
- Kollmeier J. A., Onken C. A., Kochanek C. S., Gould A., Weinberg D. H., Dietrich M., Cool R., Dey A., Eisenstein D. J., Jannuzi B. T., Le Floch E., Stern D., 2006, *ApJ*, 648, 128
- Kuiper E., Hatch N. A., Miley G. K., Nesvadba N. P. H., Röttgering H. J. A., Kurk J. D., Lehnert M. D., Overzier R. A., Pentericci L., Schaye J., Venemans B. P., 2011, *MNRAS*, 415, 2245
- Kurk J., Röttgering H., Pentericci L., Miley G., Overzier R., 2003, *Nature*, 47, 339
- Kurk J. D., Pentericci L., Overzier R. A., Röttgering H. J. A., Miley G. K., 2004, *A&A*, 428, 817
- Le Floch E., Mirabel I. F., Laurent O., Charmandaris V., Gallais P., Sauvage M., Vigroux L., Cesarsky C., 2001, *A&A*, 367, 487
- Lilly S. J., Longair M. S., 1984, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 211, 833
- Lutz D., et al., 2010, *ApJ*, 712, 1287
- Magorrian J., et al., 1998, *Astron. J.*, 115, 2285
- Mayo J. H., Vernet J., De Breuck C., Galametz A., Seymour N., Stern D., 2012, *A&A*, 539, A33
- McConnell N. J., Ma C.-P., Gebhardt K., Wright S. A., Murphy J. D., Lauer T. R., Graham J. R., Richstone D. O., 2011, *nat*, 480, 215
- McLure R. J., Dunlop J. S., 2002, *MNRAS*, 331, 795
- Miley G., De Breuck C., 2008, *A&A Rev.*, 15, 67
- Miley G. K., Overzier R. A., Zirm A. W., Ford H. C., Kurk J., Pentericci L., Blakeslee J. P., Franx M., Illingworth G. D., Postman M., Rosati P., Röttgering H. J. A., Venemans B. P., Helder E., 2006, *ApJ*, 650, L29
- Mocz P., Lee J. C., Iwasawa K., Canizares C. R., 2011, *ApJ*, 729, 30
- Mullaney J. R., Alexander D. M., Goulding A. D., Hickox R. C., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, 1082
- Nesvadba N. P. H., De Breuck C., Lehnert M. D., Best P. N., Binette L., Proga D., 2011, *A&A*, 525, A43
- Nesvadba N. P. H., Lehnert M. D., Eisenhauer F., Gilbert A., Tecza M., Abuter R., 2006, *ApJ*, 650, 693
- Netzer H., Lutz D., Schweitzer M., Contursi A., Sturm E., Tacconi L. J., Veilleux S., Kim D.-C., Rupke D., Baker A. J., Dasyra K., Mazzarella J., Lord S., 2007, *ApJ*, 666, 806
- Nguyen H. T., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L5
- Noeske K. G., et al., 2007, *ApJ*, 660, L43
- Ogle P., Davies J. E., Appleton P. N., Bertincourt B., Seymour N., Helou G., 2012, *ApJ*, 751, 13
- Ogle P., Whysong D., Antonucci R., 2006, *ApJ*, 647, 161
- Ott S., 2010, in Y. Mizumoto, K.-I. Morita, & M. Ohishi ed., *Astronomical Data Analysis Software and Systems XIX* Vol. 434 of *Astronomical Society of the Pacific Conference Series*, *The Herschel Data Processing System - HIPE and Pipelines - Up and Running Since the Start of the Mission*. p. 139
- Overzier R. A., Harris D. E., Carilli C. L., Pentericci L., Röttgering H. J. A., Miley G. K., 2005, *A&A*, 433, 87
- Page M. J., et al., 2012, *Nature*, p. in press
- Papadopoulos P. P., Röttgering H. J. A., van der Werf P. P., Guilleaume S., Omont A., van Breugel W. J. M., Tilanus R. P. J., 2000, *ApJ*, 528, 626
- Pilbratt G. L., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L1
- Pogitsch A., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L2
- Reuland M., et al., 2003, *Astrophys. J.*, 592, 755
- Reuland M., Röttgering H., van Breugel W., De Breuck C., 2004, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 353, 377
- Richards G. T., Lacy M., Storrie-Lombardi L. J., Hall P. B., Gallagher S. C., Hines D. C., Fan X., Papovich C., Vanden Berk D. E., Trammell G. B., Schneider D. P., Vestergaard M., York D. G., Jester S., Anderson S. F., Budavári T., Szalay A. S., 2006, *ApJS*, 166, 470
- Rieke G. H., Alonso-Herrero A., Weiner B. J., Pérez-González P. G., Blaylock M., Donley J. L., Marcillac D., 2009, *ApJ*, 692, 556
- Rocca-Volmerange B., Le Borgne D., De Breuck C., Fioc M., Moy E., 2004, *Astron. Astrophys.*, 415, 931
- Seymour N., et al., 2007, *ApJS*, 171, 353
- Seymour N., et al., 2008, *ApJ*, 681, L1
- Seymour N., Symeonidis M., Page M. J., Amblard A., Arumugam V., Aussel H., Blain A., Bock J., et al., 2011, *MNRAS*, 413, 1777
- Shao L., et al., 2010, *A&A*, 518, L26
- Smail I., Swinbank A. M., Ivison R. J., Ibar E., 2011, *MNRAS*, 414, L95
- Stern D., Holden B., Stanford S. A., Spinrad H., 2003, *Astron. J.*, 125, 2759
- Stevens J. A., Ivison R. J., Dunlop J. S., Smail I. R., Percival W. J., Hughes D. H., Röttgering H. J. A., van Breugel W. J. M., Reuland M., 2003, *nat*, 425, 264
- Tanaka I., Breuck C. D., Kurk J. D., Taniguchi Y., Kodama T., Matsuda Y., Packham C., Zirm A., Kajisawa M., Ichikawa T., Seymour N., Stern D., Stockton A., Venemans B. P., Vernet J., 2011, *PASJ*, 63, 415
- Tanaka M., De Breuck C., Venemans B., Kurk J., 2010, *A&A*, 518, A18
- Tueller J., Mushotzky R. F., Barthelmy S., Cannizzo J. K., Gehrels N., Markwardt C. B., Skinner G. K., Winter L. M., 2008, *ApJ*, 681, 113
- Venemans B. P., Röttgering H. J. A., Miley G. K., van Breugel W. J. M., de Breuck C., Kurk J. D., Pentericci L., Stanford S. A., Overzier R. A., Croft S., Ford H., 2007, *A&A*, 461, 823
- Villar-Martín M., et al., 2003, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 346, 273
- Wan L., Daly R. A., Guerra E. J., 2000, *ApJ*, 544, 671
- Werner M. W., et al., 2004, *Astrophys. J., Suppl. Ser.*, 154, 1
- Willott C. J., McLure R. J., Jarvis M. J., 2003, *Astrophys. J.*, 587, L15



**Table 1**  
Photometric data

wavelength	flux density	reference
$\mu\text{m}$	mJy	
24	$3.89 \pm 0.25$	(1)
100	$28.5 \pm 3.1$	(2)
160	$43.0 \pm 2.9$	(2)
250	$42.0 \pm 7.2$	(2)
350	$38.9 \pm 7.9$	(2)
500	$35.3 \pm 8.3$	(2)
870	$6.7 \pm 1.3$	(3)


**References.** — (1) De Breuck et al. (2010); (2) this work ; (3) Dannerbauer et al. (2012, in preparation).

**Table 2**  
Derived physical properties


property	value	reference
$L_{\text{IR}}(\text{Total})$	$1.97 \pm 0.28 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$	(1)
$L_{\text{IR}}(\text{AGN})$	$1.17 \pm 0.27 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$	(1)
$L_{\text{IR}}(\text{SB})$	$0.79 \pm 0.09 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$	(1)
$M_{\text{gal}}(\text{stellar})$	$\lesssim 1.1 \times 10^{12} M_{\odot}$	(2)
$M_{\text{BH}}$	$\sim 1 \times 10^{10} M_{\odot}$	(3)
SFR (optical)	$57 \pm 8 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$	(2)
Inclination, $\theta$	$\leq 68 \text{ deg}$	(4)
Angular Size	$15.8 \text{ arcsec}$	(5)
$L_{2-10\text{keV}}(\text{unob.})$	$< 1.0 \times 10^{12} L_{\odot}$	(6)
$L_{\text{BOL}}(\text{AGN})$	$\sim 7.0 \times 10^{13} L_{\odot}$	(1)
$R_{\text{Edd}}$	$\sim 0.2$	(1)
SFR	$1390 \pm 150 M_{\odot} \text{yr}^{-1}$	(1)
sSFR	$\gtrsim 1.3 \text{ Gyr}^{-1}$	(1)
$t_{\text{char}}$	$\lesssim 0.8 \text{ Gyr}$	(1)
$D/\sin(\theta)$	$\sim 140 \text{ kpc}$	(1)
$L_{151\text{MHz}}$	$5.6 \times 10^{29} \text{ WHz}^{-1}$	(1)
$L_{1\text{keV}}(\text{lobe})$	$1.8 - 4.5 \times 10^{11} L_{\odot}$	(1)
$t_{\text{jet}}$	$5 - 25 \text{ Myr}$	(1)

**References.** — (1) this work; (2) Hatch et al. (2009) — note the SFR is integrated over a 32 kpc radius; (3) Nesvadba et al. (2011); (4) Drouart et al. (2012, in preparation); (5) Carilli et al. (1997); (6) Carilli et al. (2002).

**Note.** — Results of SED fitting are listed first, parameters from the literature are listed second, and derived measurements in this work are listed last. Total IR luminosities are integrated over  $8 - 1000 \mu\text{m}$ . SFR is based on the Kennicutt (1998) conversion which uses a Salpeter IMF.



**Figure 1.** Postage-stamp IR images,  $70 \times 70$  arc-seconds, of the Spiderweb Galaxy (RA 11:40:48.60, Dec.  $-26:29:8.50$ ; J2000) with North up and East to the left. From top left to bottom right the images are: *Spitzer*/8/16/24  $\mu\text{m}$ , PACS/100 and 160  $\mu\text{m}$ , SPIRE 250/350/500  $\mu\text{m}$  and LABOCA/870  $\mu\text{m}$ . The 8 and 16  $\mu\text{m}$  band are shown for illustration only and are not used in the model fitting. Note the Airy ring is quite prominent in the *Spitzer* data.



**Figure 2.** SED fit to the rest-frame 5–300  $\mu\text{m}$  rest-frame photometry (black dots) of the Spiderweb Galaxy at  $z = 2.156$  (MRC1138-262). The total model fit, and AGN and starburst components are indicated in the figure. The vertical grey dotted line represents the position of the [CII]158  $\mu\text{m}$  line.